

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/723,017	11/27/2000	Nigel L. Bragg	583-1041 5639		
7:	590 03/31/2004	EXAMINER			
William M. Lee, Jr.			GECKIL, MEHMET B		
Lee, Mann, Sm PO Box 2786	ith, McWilliams, Sweene	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			2142	4	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

					۸ ۸				
		Application No		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/723,017		NIGEL L. BRAGG					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mehmet B. Gecl	ĸil	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo		DIVIC CETTO EV		C) EDOM					
THE - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the media patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mi riod will apply and will expire atute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co	<i>r.</i> ımmunication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	7 November 2000.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fina	al.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	c)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election require	ement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	•		i .					
si	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome nce a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.								
) \square The translation of the foreign language	provisional applicat	ion has been rece	eived.					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence o								
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		Notice of Informal Pa Other:	tent Application (PTC	-152)				
٠,٩١١	The state of the s	-,· •, L							

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Art Unit: 2142

٨.

- 1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tappan.
- 4. <u>Tappan</u> (6,473,421) taught the invention substantially as claimed including a communication system comprising:
- a) a host (Figure 2) operably connected to a first network router (I-ASBR) having a first address space associated therewith (e.g., prefix P), the first network router being arranged to receive from a second network router (E-ASBR) information relating to at least one address space (col 6, line 15 et seq), wherein the host is arranged to process the information relating to the at least one address space in order to ascertain an available return route for use by a communication in reply to an outgoing communication from the host (col 6, line 15 et seq.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the network routing art at the time of the invention that the claimed invention differed from the teachings of Tappan et al only by a degree, e.g. in the

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

claimed network entity but this is no more than a difference in a degree because network hosts as well as all other devices that are connected to a network are considered as network entities with the understanding that the host which is arranged to determine a return route is performing a routing function and therefore the host is considered as the router. With this understanding, Tappan's I-ASBR and E-ASBR internal routers receive information from each other in order process the information to determine a return route (see col 6, line 15 et seq.) Other claimed features are all obvious variations of the well known features of routing art, e.g., it is well known in the routing art that BGP routers are hierarchically operated (see Abstract.) it is also well known in the art that the subnetwork address space of a subdomain within a domain is a subset of the address space of the domain address space. For example, If a domain A has an address space X.X.X.X /24 the subdomain B of this domain let's say has the address X.X.X.X /27 and this address space of B is clearly is a subset of domain A. Also Subdomain and Domain are hierarchical in nature. These are all well known to one of ordinary skill in the routing art. Also, the claims recite the word "inherited" but Border routers exchange information and thus they inherit the information.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jack Harvey, can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are listed hereinbelow.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,017

Art Unit: 2142

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Customer service number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

3/29/04

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Meht Gee V